Generation and Trapping of a C-Unsubstituted Methylenephosphine Sulphide

Eliane Deschamps and François Mathey*

Laboratoire C.N.R.S.-S.N.P.E., 2-8, rue Henry Dunant, 94320 Thiais, France

The synthesis of a 7-phosphabicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene *P*-sulphide is described; on thermolysis in boiling toluene, this compound generates Ph–P(S)=CH₂ which can be trapped by methanol, 2,3-dimethylbutadiene, and benzylideneacetophenone.

Whereas numerous studies have been devoted to the synthesis and chemistry of methylenephosphines¹ and methylenephosphine oxides,² almost nothing is known about methylenephosphine sulphides. These species have been generated either by direct sulphurization of methylenephosphines³—5 or by rearrangement of thiophosphorylcarbenes.^{6,7} In all cases, the P=C double bonds were sterically crowded and their chemistry was not seriously explored.† In view of this, we decided to synthesise a series of precursors which would, at reasonable temperatures, lead to 'naked' (and thus highly reactive8) methylenephosphine sulphides.

Our initial experiments started with the readily available 1,2-dihydrophosphinine oxide (1).9 This oxide was treated with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate in the presence of aluminium trichloride as a catalyst (Scheme 1). After hydrolysis (ice + NH₄Cl) and evaporation of CH₂Cl₂, the organic residue was washed with Et₂O and chromatographed on a silica gel column with ethyl acetate. The structure of (2) was established by elemental analysis, mass spectrometry {(electron impact, 70 eV, 185 °C): m/z 436 (M^+ , 2.5%) and 266 [M – PhP(O)CH₂OMe, 100%]} and 1 H, 13 C, and 31 P n.m.r.

Me Me Ph Me
$$CO_2Me$$
 O_2Me
 O_2Me

Scheme 1. Reagents: i, MeO₂CC \equiv CCO₂Me (1.5 equiv.), AlCl₃ (5 equiv.), CH₂Cl₂, reflux, 2.5 h; ii, P₄S₁₀ (0.5 equiv.), C₆H₆, reflux, 2.5 h.

spectroscopy $[\delta, +ve]$ for downfield shifts, ref. external 85% $H_3PO_4:\delta(^{31}P)$ +46.2 p.p.m. in CDCl₃]. The corresponding sulphide (3) was obtained by the reaction of freshly purified P_4S_{10} (continuous extraction with CS₂) with (2). After hydrolysis ($H_2O + K_2CO_3$), the organic residue was recrystallized from acetone–light petroleum or chromatographed on silica gel with toluene–ethyl acetate (80:20) as eluant. The n.m.r. parameters of (3)‡ were closely similar to those of (2)

‡ (3): $\delta(^{31}P)$ N.m.r. (CH₂Cl₂) +58.7 p.p.m.; $\delta(^{13}C)$ n.m.r. (CDCl₃) 17.23 [d, J(C-P) 3.7 Hz, Me], 19.78 [d, J(C-P) 11 Hz, Me], 43.98 [d, $^{1}J(C-P)$ 85.5 Hz, CH₂P], 44.34 [d, $^{2}J(C-P)$ 9.8 Hz, Me–C sp³], 51.86 (s, OMe), 52.22 (s, OMe), and 56.83 p.p.m. [d, $^{1}J(C-P)$ 36.6 Hz, Ph–C–P].

[†] The only reported reactions include the addition of alcohols^{4,6,7} and the addition of sulphur.³ When generated by rearrangement of thiophosphorylcarbenes,^{6,7} the reactivity of methylenephosphine sulphides is often masked by the reactivity of their carbene precursors.

(3)
$$\frac{\text{MeOH}}{\text{CO}_2\text{Me}} + [\text{Ph-P=CH}_2] \longrightarrow \text{polymers}$$

$$(4) \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\text{MeOH}}{\text{CO}_2\text{Me}} + [\text{Ph-P=CH}_2] \longrightarrow \text{polymers}$$

$$(6) (55\%)$$

$$\frac{\text{Me Me}}{\text{S}} + \frac{\text{Me}}{\text{OMe}} +$$

but its mass spectrum did not contain the molecular ion peak, suggesting lower thermal stability. Indeed, (3) decomposed readily in boiling toluene whereas (2) decomposed only around 170 °C [this thermal instability of (3) perhaps explains why the reaction of dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate with the *P*-sulphide corresponding to (1) leads only to decomposition products]. According to ³¹P n.m.r. experiments, the decomposition of (3) was complete in *ca*. 3 h in boiling toluene. The corresponding phthalate (4) was recovered in quantitative yield. When no trapping reagent was added to the reaction medium, the expected phenyl(methylene)phosphine sulphide (5) polymerized [³¹P resonances at +30 (broad), +57, +58, +84.6, and +84.9 p.p.m.].

The reaction with methanol led to the thiophosphinate (6) which was purified by chromatography on silica gel with toluene–ethyl acetate (90:10) as eluant and characterized by ^{31}P [$\delta(^{31}P)$ +89.6 p.p.m. in CH₂Cl₂] and ^{1}H n.m.r. spectroscopy { $\delta(^{1}H)$ 2.0 [d, 3H, $^{2}J(H-P)$ 13.4 Hz, MeP], 3.57 [d, 3H, $^{3}J(H-P)$ 13.4 Hz, OMe], 7.55 (m, 3H, Ph), and 7.95 (m, 2H, Ph *ortho*) in CDCl₃}.

The reaction with 2,3-dimethylbutadiene led to the tetrahydrophosphinine (7) which was chromatographed twice with toluene–Et₂O (95:5) as eluant after partial removal of (4) by precipitation in hexane. Compound (7) was mainly characterized by ^{31}P [$\delta(^{31}P)$ +29.8 p.p.m. in CH₂Cl₂] and ^{13}C n.m.r. spectroscopy { $\delta(^{13}C)$ 20.05 [d, J(C-P) 2.4 Hz, Me], 21.54 [d, J(C-P) 11 Hz, Me], 28.93 [d, $^2J(C-P)$ 6.1 Hz, CH₂], 29.14 [d, $^1J(C-P)$ 53.7 Hz, CH₂P], 37.29 [d, $^1J(C-P)$ 52.5 Hz, CH₂P], 120.92 [d, $^1J(C-P)$ 7.3 Hz, Me–C=], and 128.07 [d, $^1J(C-P)$ 12.2 Hz, Me–C=] in CDCl₃}.

The reaction with benzylideneacetophenone led to the tetrahydro-1,2-oxaphosphinine (8) as a mixture of two isomers $[\delta(^{31}P) + 82.57 p.p.m.$ for (8a) and +80.7 p.p.m. for (8b) in CH_2Cl_2]. The crude product was purified by chromatography with hexane– Et_2O (80:20) as eluant. Compound (8a) eluted first, followed by (8b). Only the more abundant (8b) was fully characterized mainly by 1H and ^{13}C n.m.r. spectroscopy $\{\delta(^{13}C) \ 38.44 \ [d, ^2J(C-P) \ 6.1 \ Hz, \ CHPh], \ 38.80 \ [d, ^{13}C) \ 38.80 \ [d]$

 $^{1}J(C-P)$ 62.3 Hz, $CH_{2}P$], 106.75 [d, $^{3}J(C-P)$ 9.8 Hz, HC=], and 150.46 [d, $^{2}J(C-P)$ 11 Hz, Ph-C-O] in CDCl₃}. The PhCH protons of (8a) and (8b) appear respectively at δ 4.45 and 3.60 in CDCl₃. This result suggests that (8b) would be the less hindered isomer with cis (Ph)C-H and P-Ph bonds.

Since it has never been possible to perform Diels-Alder reactions with methylenephosphine oxides up to now,² the most noteworthy among this series of results is the successful condensation of (5) with dimethylbutadiene. We cannot decide yet whether this success reflects the low steric hindrance of the P=C double bond in (5) or an intrinsically higher reactivity of the P=C double bond in $-P(S)=C < \nu s$. -P(O)=C < systems.

Received, 30th May 1984; Com. 736

References

- 1 R. Appel, F. Knoll, and I. Ruppert, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1981, 20, 731.
- 2 M. Regitz and G. Maas, Top. Curr. Chem., 1981, 97, 71.
- 3 E. Niecke and D.-A. Wildbredt, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 72.
- 4 Th. A. van der Knaap, Th. C. Klebach, R. Lourens, M. Vos, and F. Bickelhaupt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 4026.
- 5 R. Appel, F. Knoch, and H. Kunze, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1984, 23, 157.
- 6 B. Divisia, Tetrahedron, 1979, 35, 181.
- 7 M. Yoshifuji, J.-I. Tagawa, and N. Inamoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1979, 2415.
- 8 The adverse effect of steric crowding upon the reactivity of P=C double bonds is well illustrated by a comparison of the reactivities of various methylenephosphines toward conjugated dienes. Hindered methylenephosphines do not react whereas less hindered methylenephosphines do react. See, for example: Th. A. van der Knaap, Th. C. Klebach, F. Visser, R. Lourens, and F. Bickelhaupt, Tetrahedron, 1984, 40, 991; A. Meriem, J. P. Majoral, M. Revel, and J. Navech, Tetrahedron Lett., 1983, 24, 1975.
- 9 E. Deschamps, F. Mathey, C. Knobler, and Y. Jeannin, *Organometallics*, in the press.